



NEWFOUNDLAND AND LABRADOR
BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS OF PUBLIC UTILITIES
120 Torbay Road, P.O. Box 21040, St. John's, Newfoundland and Labrador, Canada, A1A 5B2

E-mail: shirleywalsh@nlh.nl.ca

2021-08-17

Ms. Shirley Walsh
Senior Legal Counsel - Regulatory
Newfoundland and Labrador Hydro
P.O. Box 12400
St. John's, NL A1B 4K7


Dear Ms. Walsh:

**Re: Newfoundland and Labrador Hydro - Supply Cost Accounting Application
To NLH - Requests for Information**

Enclosed are Requests for Information PUB-NLH-001 to PUB-NLH-031 regarding the above-noted application.

If you have any questions or require any clarification, please do not hesitate to contact the undersigned.

Yours truly,


Cheryl Blundon
Board Secretary

CB/cj
Enclosure

ecc **Newfoundland & Labrador Hydro**
NLH Regulatory, E-mail: NLHRegulatory@nlh.nl.ca
Newfoundland Power Inc.
Dominic Foley, E-mail: dfoley@newfoundlandpower.com
NP Regulatory, E-mail: regulatory@newfoundlandpower.com
Consumer Advocate
Dennis Browne, Q.C., E-mail: dbrowne@bfma-law.com
Stephen Fitzgerald, E-mail: sfitzgerald@bfma-law.com
Sarah Fitzgerald, E-mail: sarahfitzgerald@bfma-law.com
Bernice Bailey, E-mail: bbailey@bfma-law.com
Iron Ore Company of Canada
Gregory Moores, E-mail: gmoores@stewartmckelvey.com

Industrial Customer Group
Paul Coxworthy, E-mail: pcoxworthy@stewartmckelvey.com
Dean Porter, E-mail: dporter@poolealthouse.ca
Denis Fleming, E-mail: dfleming@coxandpalmer.com
Teck Resources Limited
Shawn Kinsella, E-mail: shawn.kinsella@teck.com
Praxair Canada Inc.
Sheryl Nisenbaum, E-mail: sheryl_nisenbaum@praxair.com
Peter Strong, E-mail: Peter.Strong@Linde.com
Labrador Interconnected Group
Senwung Luk, E-mail: sluk@oktlaw.com
Julia Brown, E-mail: jbrown@oktlaw.com

1 **IN THE MATTER OF**
2 the *Electrical Power Control Act, 1994*,
3 SNL 1994, Chapter E-5.1 (the “*EPCA*”)
4 and the *Public Utilities Act*, RSNL 1990,
5 Chapter P-47 (the “*Act*”), as amended,
6 and regulations thereunder; and
7

8 **IN THE MATTER OF** an application by
9 Newfoundland and Labrador Hydro for the
10 approval of deferral accounts to address material
11 changes in system costs as a result of the Muskrat
12 Falls Project and the phasing out of the Holyrood
13 Thermal Generating Station as a generating facility,
14 pursuant to sections 58, 71, and 80 of the *Act*.

**PUBLIC UTILITIES BOARD
REQUESTS FOR INFORMATION**

PUB-NLH-001 to PUB-NLH-031

Issued: August 17, 2021

1 **Schedule 1: Evidence**

2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46

PUB-NLH-001 (a) During the period that the Labrador Island Link (“LIL”) is not commissioned, how much energy will the LIL be able to deliver to the Island Interconnected system once the Muskrat Falls Generating Station and the Labrador Transmission Assets (“LTA”) are commissioned?

(b) Depending on the energy available during this period, will Hydro still be reliant on the Holyrood Thermal Generating Station (“Holyrood TGS”) to meet the load requirements of the Island Interconnected system? If so, will it be appropriate to use the market value of exports as the marginal energy supply cost, and would it be premature to discontinue the Rate Stabilization Plan (“RSP”) and the No. 6 fuel component of the Revised Energy Supply Cost Deferral Account before the LIL is fully commissioned? Please explain.

PUB-NLH-002 Page 2, lines 19-21 states “The proposed deferral account would also provide for rate mitigation funding and/or rate changes implemented solely to recover Project costs to be applied to the proposed deferral account to offset Project charges to Hydro.”

During the Rate Mitigation Reference, it was noted by Nalcor and Hydro that the application of rate mitigation sources received from the province raised a number of accounting issues that needed to be addressed. In particular Hydro did not want to be viewed from an accounting standards perspective as not being “self-supporting”. Has Hydro received any opinion or consultation from external accountants/auditors confirming that applying the rate mitigation funding from the province to a deferral account would resolve the potential issues and be appropriate under IFRS? If so, please provide this information. If not, please explain how Hydro has come to the conclusion that this treatment of the rate mitigation funding received from the province would be appropriate under IFRS.

PUB-NLH-003 Page 6, lines 7-9 states that, as a result of the significant level of rate mitigation funding that will be required for many years, a formalized mechanism to incorporate rate mitigation into the regulatory process for setting customer rates is appropriate and that this mechanism could be done through a billing credit or the use of the deferral account. Please confirm if Hydro’s proposal to include the rate mitigation funding as a component of the proposed Supply Cost Variance Deferral Account is an interim measure until Hydro has obtained more certainty of the details and timing of province’s rate mitigation plan.

PUB-NLH-004 Page 8, lines 16-17 states that, in order to defer excess Schedule 2 energy, Hydro is required to declare that it will defer excess energy rather than monetize the excess as export revenues.

1 (a) When does Hydro have to make the declaration to defer the excess
2 energy rather than monetize it? Can the excess energy that has been
3 deferred be monetized as export revenues in future years?
4

5 (b) How would Hydro account for the deferral of excess energy and will
6 there be a dollar value attached to this deferred excess energy?
7

8 (c) Is there a limit to the amount of excess energy that Hydro can defer?
9

10 (d) Will the deferral of excess energy have any impact on the operation
11 of the proposed Supply Cost Variance Deferral Account?
12

13 **PUB-NLH-005**

Page 8, lines 13-14 states that the Muskrat Falls Power Purchase Agreement (“PPA”) provides Hydro the option to borrow excess energy from future years, if available.

14 (a) How and who determines if excess energy is available to purchase
15 from future years?
16

17 (b) Please provide a scenario where Hydro would choose to defer excess
18 Schedule 2 energy instead of monetizing it if Hydro can borrow energy
19 from future years to avoid incurring additional power purchase costs in
20 circumstances when the energy generation on the Island is reduced.
21

22 **PUB-NLH-006**

Page 15, lines 10-11 states that the monthly payments for 2021 under the Muskrat Falls PPA and the Transmission Funding Agreement (“TFA”) are projected to be approximately \$33 million and \$34 million, respectively.
23
24

25 (a) Please provide a breakdown of the \$33 million Muskrat Falls PPA
26 monthly payment indicating the portion representing the Base Block
27 Capital Costs Recovery payments (Schedule 1), the monthly estimates of
28 the components of the O&M charges from Muskrat Falls Corporation, and
29 the LTA payments which include the capital cost recovery, O&M and
30 sustaining capital.
31

32 (b) Also provide an estimate of the components included in the \$34
33 million TFA monthly payment.
34
35

36 **PUB-NLH-007**

Page 17, lines 4-6 states that Hydro is proposing to transfer the balance in the RSP Hydraulic Production Variation component to the Holyrood TGS Fuel Costs component of the proposed Supply Cost Variance Deferral Account upon the approved effective date. Will Hydro allocate 25% of the balance in the RSP Hydraulic Production Variation component to the Newfoundland Power and Industrial Customer RSP Current Plan balances, similar to what is now done at the end of the year, before the balance is transferred? If not, please explain.
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46

- 1 **PUB-NLH-008** (a) Hydro is proposing to transfer the balance in the RSP Hydraulic
2 Production Variation component to the proposed Supply Cost Variance
3 Deferral Account upon the approved effective date. Since the balance
4 accumulated in the RSP Hydraulic Production Variation component
5 reflects Holyrood TGS fuel cost variances not yet recovered through
6 customer rates, please explain why Hydro is proposing to continue to defer
7 this balance with the costs associated with the supply of energy from the
8 Muskrat Falls Project instead of recovering these costs earlier.
9
- 10 (b) Please confirm whether or not hydraulic production variances will be
11 tracked in the Supply Cost Variance Deferral Account when the Project is
12 fully commissioned.
13
- 14 **PUB-NLH-009** The current operation of the RSP Hydraulic Production Variation
15 component includes the net ponded energy results (kWh) and spill exports
16 (kWh). Will this activity be tracked in the proposed Supply Cost Variance
17 Deferral Account? If not, please explain how it will be tracked in the
18 future and, if this activity is included, please explain how it will operate
19 within the proposed Supply Cost Variance Deferral Account.
20
- 21 **PUB-NLH-010** Page 17, Footnote 37 states that Hydro will recognize an IFRS deviation
22 under IFRS 14 to ensure that an estimate of the exports is recorded in the
23 period incurred but it is not specifically noted in paragraph 41 of the
24 Application. Is Hydro requesting Board approval at this time for this IFRS
25 deviation?
26
- 27 **PUB-NLH-011** Page 18, lines 19-20 states that transmission tariffs credited to this deferral
28 account will reflect tariff payments from parties other than Hydro. Are
29 these tariff payments from other parties for exports received by Nalcor
30 Energy Marketing or Hydro?
31
- 32 **PUB-NLH-012** Page 21, lines 10-14 states that Hydro is proposing to transfer the balances
33 in the Revised Energy Supply Cost Variance Deferral Account and the
34 Holyrood Conversion Rate Deferral Account to the Other Island
35 Interconnected System Supply Cost Variance component of the proposed
36 Supply Cost Variance Deferral Account upon its effective date.
37
- 38 a) Has Hydro considered transferring the balance in these current
39 supply deferral accounts, as of the effective date, to the RSP and
40 recovering these costs in the 2022 RSP rates, instead of transferring the
41 balances to the proposed Supply Cost Variance Deferral Account for
42 disposition in 2023 or later, especially considering these costs are incurred
43 prior to the commissioning of the Muskrat Falls Project?
44
- 45 b) As ordered in Order No. P.U. 22(2017), Hydro is required to file a
46 report with the Board annually in its justification for disposition of these
47 balances. Are there any reasons why this report cannot be filed to justify

- 1 the disposition of the balance accumulated before the effective date of the
2 proposed deferral account?
3
- 4 **PUB-NLH-013** Further to PUB-NLH-012, in Appendix A, page 5 of 7 Hydro is also
5 proposing to transfer the balances in the Isolated System Supply Cost
6 Variance Deferral Account to the proposed Supply Cost Variance Deferral
7 Account upon its effective date. Has Hydro considered a proposal to
8 transfer the balance accumulated in this account to the RSP before the
9 implementation of the proposed Supply Cost Variance Deferral Account?
10 If not, please explain.
11
- 12 **PUB-NLH-014** Hydro has not previously requested approval of a Rural Rate Adjustment -
13 Load Variation component for the RSP. Please explain what has changed
14 which has resulted in Hydro proposing this component as part of the
15 proposed Supply Cost Variance Deferral Account.
16
- 17 **PUB-NLH-015** (a) Has Hydro considered using a “deadband” for the proposed load
18 variation component of the Rural Rate Adjustment? If not, please explain.
19
- 20 (b) Will the approval of the proposed Rural Rate Adjustment - Load
21 Variation component have any impact on the calculation of the rural
22 deficit?
23
- 24 **PUB-NLH-016** On page 25, lines 1-5 Hydro indicates that the Rural Rate Alteration
25 transfers, which only apply to Newfoundland Power, will continue to be
26 applied to Newfoundland Power’s RSP Current Plan balance and be
27 reflected in the remaining plan balance of the RSP to be recovered by the
28 updated RSP rate in July 2022. Is it Hydro’s intention to also apply the
29 proposed load variation component of the Rural Rate Alteration to the
30 Utility balance component for recovery in the 2022 RSP rate or will it
31 become a separate component of the proposed Supply Cost Variance
32 Deferral Account upon its effective date?
33
- 34 **PUB-NLH-017** On page 25, lines 7-10 Hydro is proposing that, for 2022, the normal rate
35 updates previously required under the RSP, January 1, 2022 for Industrial
36 customers and July 1, 2022 for Newfoundland Power, will reflect the
37 discontinuance of the fuel riders and the recovery of the remaining current
38 plan balances. However, Appendix A, page 7 of 7 also states that the
39 timing of the required rate revision for the RSP will depend on the
40 implementation schedule of the province’s rate mitigation plan. Please
41 explain why the RSP rate revision to recover costs incurred prior to the
42 commissioning of the Muskrat Falls Project will depend on the
43 implementation schedule of the rate mitigation plan to assist with the
44 recovery of the costs associated with the Muskrat Falls Project.
45
- 46 **PUB-NLH-018** On page 32 Hydro states that it will provide additional evidence on the
47 long-term approach to the proposed Supply Cost Variance Deferral
48 Account in its next general rate application but proposes to file a future

1 application subsequent to the receipt of an order from the Board relating to
 2 the next general rate application to deal with the allocation and recovery of
 3 the balance in the account. Please clarify what will be provided and
 4 required for approval in relation to the proposed Supply Cost Variance
 5 Deferral Account in Hydro's next general rate application and whether the
 6 subsequent application will be for approval of the allocation and
 7 disposition of funds as approved in the general rate application.
 8

9 **PUB-NLH-019**

10 Please explain Hydro's rationale to defer the collection of the net costs
 11 accumulated in the following components of the proposed Supply Cost
 12 Variance Deferral Account until after the next general rate application:

- 13 • Holyrood Thermal Generating Station Fuel Cost Variance
- 14 • Other Island Interconnected System Supply Cost Variance
- 15 • Rural Rate Alteration
- 16 • Isolated Systems Supply Cost Variance
- 17 • Greenhouse Gas Credit Revenues Variance

18
 19 Please confirm whether or not these costs/revenues are specifically related
 20 to the supply of energy from the Muskrat Falls Project. If not, please
 21 explain why there is a delay in collecting/refunding these costs from/to
 22 ratepayers.
 23

24 **PUB-NLH-020**

25 Has Hydro considered to defer only the "Muskrat Falls Project Costs", the
 26 "Net Revenues from Exports", the "Transmission Tariff Revenues", and
 27 the "Greenhouse Gas Credit Revenues" as part of the proposed Supply
 28 Cost Variance Deferral Account and wait until Hydro has more certainty
 29 with regards to the commissioning of the LIL before requesting approval
 30 for the remaining components of the proposed Supply Cost Variance
 31 Deferral Account?

32 **PUB-NLH-021**

33 Please explain how the proposal described in PUB-NLH-020 would
 34 impact the operation of the RSP and the current supply deferral accounts if
 35 these accounts were to continue operating "as is" until Hydro has obtained
 36 more certainty with the supply of energy from the Muskrat Falls Project.
 37 Also, would this result in any negative impact with regards to Hydro's
 38 financial reporting?

39 **PUB-NLH-022**

40 According to the information in the Application Hydro is proposing a
 41 deferral account to record the costs associated with the significant monthly
 42 Muskrat Falls PPA and TFA payments that Hydro is required to pay for
 43 the supply of energy from the Muskrat Falls Project. These monthly
 44 payments are scheduled to commence in the Fall of 2021, and will
 45 continue to be recorded in a deferral account until disposition is
 46 determined by the Board, subsequent to the conclusion of Hydro's next
 47 general rate application. Please explain how Hydro will be financing these
 cash payments during this period with no revenue collected from rates

1 associated with these costs, and the uncertainty of the timing and details of
 2 the province's rate mitigation plan.
 3

4 **Holyrood Accelerated Depreciation**

5
 6 **PUB-NLH-023** Please reconcile the difference in the numbers included in Footnote 57 on
 7 page 28 and the \$32.2 million in Table 6.
 8

9 **PUB-NLH-024** Please explain how the proposed Holyrood TGS Accelerated Depreciation
 10 Deferral Account will be treated in rate base. Will the account be deducted
 11 from the total accumulated depreciation included in rate base?
 12

13 **Financial Reporting of Project Costs**

14
 15 **PUB-NLH-025** Will Hydro have separate regulatory accounts for the pre-commissioning
 16 power purchases from the Muskrat Falls PPA, the post-commissioning
 17 power purchases from the Muskrat Falls PPA and the transmission costs
 18 from the TFA or will there be one regulatory account? Will these
 19 regulatory assets/liabilities be included in the calculation of rate base?
 20

21 **PUB-NLH-026** (a) Please provide examples of the accounting of the monthly payments
 22 that Hydro will be incurring as a result of the Muskrat Falls PPA and the
 23 TFA to reflect the IFRS deviation, the deferral of sustaining capital
 24 payments, and the Supply Cost Variance Deferral Account. Please state
 25 and explain any assumptions made by Hydro to provide this information.
 26

27 (b) Please explain how the purchased power and transmission costs
 28 recorded in these regulatory accounts as a result of the IFRS deviation will
 29 eventually be included in the proposed Supply Cost Variance Deferral
 30 Account?
 31

32 **Sustaining Capital Deferral Account**

33
 34 **PUB-NLH-027** On page 32, lines 2-4 Hydro is proposing to defer the monthly payments
 35 relating to sustaining capital costs and include a monthly interest charge
 36 for interest incurred during construction in the proposed Sustaining
 37 Capital Deferral Account. Is the interest incurred during construction a
 38 cost in addition to the Muskrat Falls PPA payments? Would this cost be
 39 incurred if sustaining capital costs were recognized consistent with the
 40 commercial terms as up-front payments? Please explain.
 41

42 **PUB-NLH-028** Will the proposed Sustaining Capital Deferral Account be included in rate
 43 base if interest is being charged and deferred on a monthly basis?


1 **Appendix D – Summary of Proposed Regulatory Accounting for Muskrat Falls Project**
 2 **Charges**

- 3
- 4 **PUB-NLH-029** Was the information included in Appendix D prepared in consultation
 5 with external accountants/auditors? If so, please provide the information
 6 and/or consultation papers prepared for Hydro.
 7
- 8 **PUB-NLH-030** On page 1 of 2 under the Muskrat Falls PPA, the regulatory mechanism
 9 for O&M, payments pursuant to Real Property, Leases, Licenses or
 10 Easements, Indemnity payments, and Taxes states “N/A- Treat consistent
 11 with IFRS”, but the same costs for the LTA payments states “IFRS
 12 Deviation – Treat consistent with commercial payment”. Why are these
 13 costs being treated differently?
 14
- 15 **PUB-NLH-031** The definition of the proposed Sustaining Capital Deferral Account
 16 includes Hydro’s funding of sustaining capital for the Muskrat Falls Plant
 17 and the LTA. In Appendix D, page 1 of 2, included in the Muskrat Falls
 18 PPA O&M costs, there are descriptions of the regulatory mechanisms for
 19 the Muskrat Falls Plant sustaining capital costs and the LTA sustaining
 20 costs. The description of the mechanisms appears to be different. Please
 21 explain the difference between the two descriptions provided in the table
 22 and whether these costs will be treated differently for regulatory purposes.

DATED at St. John’s, Newfoundland this 17th day of August, 2021.

BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS OF PUBLIC UTILITIES

Per


 Cheryl Blundon
 Board Secretary